# JURIES: COMPLEX TRIALS AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

# **LAW LIBRARY**

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA, MARICOPA COUNTY

January 2022

# CONTENTS

BOOKS	.3
REPORTS	
PAPERS	
ARTICLES	

#### **BOOKS**

- Arkfeld, Michael R., Robert D. Bohm and Kevin W. Keenan. *Successfully Presenting the Complex Case to an Arizona Jury.* Eau Claire, WI: National Business Institute, 2000.
- Austin, Arthur D. *Complex Litigation Confronts the Jury System: A Case Study*. Frederick, MD: University Publications of America, Inc., 1984.
- Hans, Valerie P. Business on Trial: The Civil Jury and Corporate Responsibility. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2000.
- *Inside the Juror: The Psychology of Juror Decision Making*. Reid Hastie, ed. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- Lubet, Steven, JC Lore. *Modern Trial Advocacy: Analysis and Practice.* Boulder, CO: National Institute for Trial Advocacy. 2015.
- Marriott, David R., Trial by Jury, 2015. New York: Practicing Law Institute. 2015.
- Munsterman, G. Thomas, Paula L. Hannaford and G. Marc Whitehead, ed. *Jury Trial Innovations*. Williamsburg, VA: National Center for State Courts, 1997.
- Neufer, Nancy L. *Complex Evidence and Communication: The Good, the Bad and the Ugly*. Section of Litigation, Chicago IL: American Bar Association, 2001.
- Selvin, Molly and Larry Picus. *The Debate over Jury Performance: Observations from a Recent Asbestos Case*. Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation, 1987.
- Sward, Ellen E. The Decline of the Civil Jury. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press, 2001.
- Verdict: Assessing the Civil Jury System. Robert E. Litan, ed. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution, 1993.
- Vidmar, Neil. Medical Malpractice and the American Jury: Confronting the Myths about Jury Incompetence, Deep Pockets, and Outrageous Damage Awards. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press, 1995.
- Vincenti, Leonard M., The Jury Trial. Lawyers & Judges Pub. Co. 2014.

## **REPORTS**

- American Bar Association. <u>Civil Trial Practice Standards</u>. Chicago, IL: American Bar Association, August 2007.
- Arizona Supreme Court. <u>Arizona Task Force on Jury Data Collection, Policies, and Procedures. Reports and Recommendations</u>. October 4, 2021.
- Arizona Supreme Court. Committee on More Effective Use of Juries. <u>Jurors: The Power of 12</u>. Phoenix, AZ: The Committee, 1994.
- Arizona Supreme Court. Committee on More Effective Use of Juries. <u>Jurors: The Power of 12, Part 2</u>, 1996.

- Arizona Supreme Court. <u>Statewide Jury Selection Workgroup: A Workgroup of the Task</u>
  <u>Force on Jury Data Collection, Practices, and Procedures.</u> November 1, 2021.
- Committee on Federal Courts, New York State Bar Association. "Improving Jury Comprehension in Complex Civil Litigation." 62 St. John's Law Review 549 (1988).
- Jury Service Committee of the Ohio Judicial Conference, Ohio Judicial Conference. "Jury Resource Manual." September 30, 2021.
- <u>Jury Service in Victoria: Final Report, v. 3</u>. Melbourne, Victoria: Parliament of Victoria, Victorian Law Reform Committee, 1997.
- Jury Trial Project, New York State Unified Court System. <u>"Final Report of the Committees of the Jury Trial Project."</u> 2005.
- Sentell, R. Perry Jr. *The Georgia Negligence Jury*. Athens, GA: Carl Vinson Institute of Government, University of Georgia, 1995. Summary available at Georgia Civil Justice Foundation, *In Defense of the Georgia Negligence Jury*, <a href="http://www.civiljustice.org/Pages/06-NegligenceJury.html">http://www.civiljustice.org/Pages/06-NegligenceJury.html</a>.

### **PAPERS**

- Dewitt, John S. <u>Novel Scientific Evidence and the Juror: A Scientific Psychological Approach</u> to the Frye-Relevancy Controversy. Thesis (Ph. D.) University of Nevada, Reno, 1991.
- Goodman, Jane. <u>Probabilistic Scientific Evidence: Jurors' Inferences</u>. Thesis (Ph. D.) University of Washington, 1986.

## **ARTICLES**

- Alleman, Thomas B. "Don't Shy Away From Science: Litigators Should Embrace Scientific Data as a Means of Calming Juror Fears." 152 New Jersey Law Journal S15 (June 8, 1998).
- Beecher-Monas, Erica. "Heuristics, Biases, and the Importance of Gatekeeping." 2003 *Michigan State DCL Law Review* 987.
- Bell, Rosalyn B. "Instructing Juries in Genomic Evidence Cases." <u>36 Judges Journal 42</u> (Summer 1997).
- Berg, Martin. "Experts Wonder: Did the Jury Understand the DNA Evidence? (O.J. Simpson Murder Trial)." 108 *The Los Angeles Daily Journal* 8 (May 11, 1995).
- Brewer, Scott. "Scientific Expert Testimony and Intellectual Due Process." <u>107 Yale Law</u> <u>Journal 1535</u> (1998).
- Browne, M. Neil, Terri J. Keeley and Wesley J. Hiers. "The Epistemological Role of Expert Witnesses and Toxic Torts." <u>36 American Business Law Journal 1</u> (1998).
- Broyles, Keith E. "Taking the Courtroom into the Classroom: A Proposal for Educating the Lay Juror in Complex Litigation Cases." 64 George Washington Law Review 714 (1996).

- Cecil, Joe S., Valerie P. Hans and Elizabeth C. Wiggins. "Citizen Comprehension of Difficult Issues: Lessons from Civil Jury Trials." 40 American University Law Review 727 (1991).
- Chilton, Ellen and Patrician Henley. <u>"Improving the Jury System: Jury Instructions: Helping Jurors Understand the Evidence and the Law</u>." *PLRI Reports* (Spring 1996). University of California, Hastings College of the Law, Public Law Research Institute.
- Cho, Susie. "Capital Confusion: The Effect of Jury Instructions on the Decision to Impose Death." <u>85 Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology</u> 532 (1994).
- Collins, Daniel P. "Making Juries Better Factfinders." <u>20 Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy 489</u> (1997).
- Cooley, Craig M. "Forensic Individualization Sciences and the Capital Jury: Are Witherspoon Jurors More Deferential to Suspect Science Than Non-Witherspoon Jurors?" <u>28 Southern Illinois University Law Journal</u> <u>273</u> (2004).
- Cooper, Joel, Elizabeth A. Bennett and Holly L. Sukel. "Complex Scientific Testimony: How Do Jurors Make Decisions?" 20 Law and Human Behavior 379 (1996).
- Cowell, Susan E. "Pretrial Mediation of Complex Scientific Cases: A Proposal To Reduce Jury and Judicial Confusion." <u>75 Chicago-Kent Law Review 981</u> (2000).
- Daniels, Stephen. "The Question of Jury Competence and the Politics of Civil Justice Reform: Symbols, Rhetoric, and Agenda-Building." <u>52 Law and Contemporary Problems</u> 269 (Autumn 1989).
- Danois, Derek. "Computer Animation Helps Win Cases: By Visualizing Complex Evidence, Juror Comprehension Rises." 18 *Pennsylvania Law Weekly* S8 (July 17, 1995).
- "Developments in the Law: Confronting the New Challenges of Scientific Evidence." <u>108</u> <u>Harvard Law Review 1481</u> (1995).
- DeWitt, John S. "Juries and Experts: Sensing and Comprehending in Cases That Hinge on Expert Testimony." 3 Nevada Lawyer 18 (April 1995).
- Diamond, Shari Seidman and Judith N. Levi. "Improving Decisions on Death by Revising and Testing Jury Instructions." 79 Judicature 224 (1996).
- Diamon, Shari Seidman, et al. "Juror Discussions During Civil Trials Studying An Arizona Innovation." 45 Arizona Law Review 1 (Spring 2003).
- Ewing, Jack. "Conn. Jury Disregards DNA Test." 12 National Law Journal 9 (April 23, 1990).
- Fitzgerald, Brian P. "Can the Pattern Jury Instruction on Medical Malpractice be Revised to Reflect the Law More Accurately?" 71 New York State Bar Journal 32 (November 1999).
- Goyal, Meha. "An Exception to Trial by Jury in Complex White-Collar Crime Cases." <u>31</u> <u>Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics</u> 621 (2018).
- Grenig, Jay E. "The Civil Jury In America: Improving the Jury's Understanding of a Case." <u>24</u> <u>American Journal of Trial Advocacy 93</u> (2000).

- Handberg, Roger B. "Expert Testimony on Eyewitness Identification: A New Pair of Glasses for the Jury." <u>32 American Criminal Law Review 1013</u> (1995).
- Hannaford, Paula L. and G. Thomas Musterman. "Beyond Note Taking: Innovations in Jury Reform." 33 *Trial* 48 (July 1997).
- Hannaford, Paula L., B. Michael Dann and G. Thomas Munsterman. "How Judges View Civil Juries." 48 *DePaul Law Review* 247 (1998).
- Hans, Valerie P., Paula L. Hannaford and G. Thomas Munsterman. "The Arizona Jury Reform Permitting Civil Jury Trial Discussions: The Views of Trial Participants, Judges, and Jurors." 32 *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform* 349 (1999).
- Harmon, Rockne P. "Legal Criticisms of DNA Typing: Where's the Beef?" <u>84 Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology</u> <u>175</u> (1993).
- Harris, Michael D. "In L.A. Courts, Jurors Begin to Ask Questions: Innovative Program an Attempt to Improve the Quality of Jury Service." 112 Los Angeles Daily Journal 1 (August 23, 1999).
- Heuer, Larry and Steven Penrod. "Increasing Juror Participation in Trials Through Note Taking and Question Asking." 79 Judicature 256 (1996).
- Heuer, Larry and Steven Penrod. "Increasing Jurors' Participation in Trials: A Field Experiment With Jury Notetaking and Question Asking." 12 Law and Human Behavior 231 (1988).
- Higgins, Michael. "Not So Plain English: In Many States, Jury Instructions Are Confusing." 84 ABA Journal 40 (June 1998).
- Horowitz, Irwin A. and Lynne Forster Lee. "The Effects of Note-taking and Trial Transcript Access on Mock Jury Decisions in a Complex Civil Trial." 25 Law and Human Behavior 373 (2001).
- Imwinkelried, Edward J. "Judge Versus the Jury: Who Should Decide Questions of Preliminary Facts Conditioning the Admissibility of Scientific Evidence?" <u>25 William and Mary Law Review 577</u> (1984).
- Imwinkelried, Edward J. "The Standard for Admitting Scientific Evidence: A Critique From the Perspective of Juror Psychology." 28 *Villanova Law Review* 554 (1983).
- Jacobs, Michael S. "Testing the Assumptions Underlying the Debate About Scientific Evidence: A Closer Look at Juror 'Competence' and Scientific 'Objectivity'." <u>25</u> <u>Connecticut Law Review 1083</u> (Summer 1993).
- Jeffers, John L. "How to Present Complex Economic Evidence to a Jury." <u>7 Litigation 30</u> (Fall 1980).
- Kehoe, Robert E. Jr. "New Techniques for Improving Complex Jury Charges." 143 *Chicago Daily Law Bulletin* 6 (November 11, 1997).
- King, Nancy Jean. "The American Criminal Jury." 62 Law and Contemporary Problems 41

(1999).

- Koehler, Jonathan J. "On Conveying the Probative Value of DNA Evidence: Frequencies, Likelihood Ratios, and Error Rates (O.J. Simpson and the Criminal Justice System on Trial)." 67 *University of Colorado Law Review* 859 (1996).
- Kovera, Margaret Bull, Bradley D. McAuliff and Kellye S. Herbert. "Reasoning About Scientific Evidence: Effects on Juror Gender and Evidence Quality on Juror Decisions in a Hostile Work Environment Case." 84 *Journal of Applied Psychology* 362 (1999).
- Kramer, Geoffrey and Durean M. Koenig. "Do Juror Understand Criminal Jury Instructions? Analyzing the Results of the Michigan Comprehension Project." 23 *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform* 401 (Spring 1990).
- Kreiling, Kenneth R. "Scientific Evidence: Toward Providing the Lay Trier With the Comprehensive and Reliable Evidence Necessary to Meet the Goals of the Rules of Evidence." 32 *Arizona Law Review* 915 (1990).
- Laguzza, Ross P. "Finding Out How Jurors Think." 22 Legal Times S42 (June 7, 1999).
- Landsman, Stephan. "The Civil Jury in America." <u>62 Law and Contemporary Problems 285</u> (1999).
- Lee, Lynne Forster and Irwin A. Horowitz. "The Effects of Jury-Aid Innovations on Juror Performance in Complex Civil Trials." <u>86 Judicature</u> <u>184</u> (2003).
- Lempert, Richard O. "The Jury and Scientific Evidence." <u>9 Kansas Journal of Law & Public Policy 22</u> (1999).
- Lew, Avi. "Preliminary Screening Hearings in Products Liability Litigation: Trampling on the Fundamental Constitutional Right to Trial by Jury." 16 *Journal of Products and Toxics Liability* 37 (1994).
- Longhofer, Ronald S. "Jury Trial Techniques in Complex Civil Litigation." <u>32 University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform 335</u> (1999).
- Lowe, John C. "Making Complex Litigation Clear." 33 Trial 46 (April 1997).
- Lowe, John C. "Reinventing an Outdated Wheel: Innovations in Complex Litigation." 2 *Virginia Journal of Law and Technology* 6 (Fall 1997). Available at <a href="http://www.freedomlaw.com/VJOLTig.html">http://www.freedomlaw.com/VJOLTig.html</a>.
- Magnusson, Eric and Ben Selinger. "Jury Comprehension of Complex Scientific Evidence: The Inference Chart Concept." 14 *Criminal Law Journal* 389 (1990).
- Margolis, Daniel H. "The Case Against Trial by Jury in Complex Civil Litigation." <u>7 Litigation</u> <u>19</u> (Fall 1980).
- Marquess, Kate. "Technical Difficulties; Litigating Computer-Related Cases Means Bringing the Judge and Jury Up To Speed On the Complexities of a Fast-Paced Industry." 87 ABA Journal 54 (January 2001).
- McDonald, James J. Jr. "Daubert in Employment Litigation: A Potent Weapon Against

- Dubious Science." 24 Employee Relations Law Journal 35 (1998).
- McDonald, Ryan. "Juries and Crime Labs: Correcting the Link in the DNA Chain." <u>24</u>
  <u>American Journal of Law and Medicine 345</u> (1998).
- McMahon, Terrence P. and Amy Landers. "Hi-Tech Visuals Tell a Story; Complex Computer or Scientific Cases Require Sophisticated Trial Graphics to Educate a Jury." 23 National Law Journal B11 (November 27, 2000).
- Menon, Jody Weisberg. "Adversarial Medical and Scientific Testimony and Lay Jurors: A Proposal for Medical Malpractice Reform." 21 American Journal of Law and Medicine 281 (1995).
- Miller, Michael Owen and Thomas A. Mauet. "The Psychology of Jury Persuasion." <u>22</u> <u>American Journal of Trial Advocacy</u> 549 (1999).
- Munsterman, G. Thomas and Paula L. Hannaford. "Reshaping the Bedrock of Democracy: American Jury Reform During the Last 30 Years." 36 *Judges Journal* 4 (Fall 1997).
- Myers, Hon. Robert D., Hon. Ronald S. Reinstein and Gordon M. Griller. "Complex Scientific Evidence and the Jury." <u>83 Judicature 150</u> (1999).
- Nace, Barry J. "Daubert: Victory for the Jury." 29 Trial 7 (October 1993).
- O'Malley, Kathleen. "Trial by Jury: Why it Works and Why it Matters." <u>68 American University Law Review 1095</u> (2019).
- Ostrom, Brian J., David B. Rottman and John A. Goerdt. "A Step above Anecdote: A Profile of the Civil Jury in the 1990s; Empirical Data Provided by the Civil Trial Court Network Project Illuminate the Debate over Jury Reform." 79 Judicature 233 (1996).
- Randall, Janet. "How to Talk So Juries Will Listen." <u>95 Chicago-Kent Law Review 647</u> (2020).
- Recer, Paul. "Is DNA Evidence Distracting Juries?" 137 Chicago Daily Law Bulletin 1 (February 15, 1991).
- Reifman, Alan, Spencer M. Gusick and Phoebe C. Ellsworth. "Real Jurors' Understanding of Law in Real Cases." 16 Law and Human Behavior 539 (1992).
- Rein, Bert W. "The Role of the Jury in the Evaluation of Scientific Evidence." <u>9 Kansas</u> Journal of Law & Public Policy 28 (1999).
- Rowe, Brenda Inman. "A Possible Solution for the Problem of Juries Slighting Nonscientific Evidence: A Bayesian-like Judicial Instruction." 24 American Journal of Criminal Law 541 (1997).
- Saks, Michael J. "Scientific Evidence and the Ethical Obligations of Attorneys." <u>49 Cleveland</u> <u>State Law Review 421</u> (2001).
- Salman, Robert R. "No Hermits for the Jury." 17 National Law Journal A21 (May 15, 1995).
- Sand, Leonard B. "Experiments in the Second Circuit with Techniques for Aiding Juror

- Comprehension." 8 University of Bridgeport Law Review 325 (1987).
- Sanders, Joseph. "Jury Deliberation in a Complex Case: Havner v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals." <u>16 Justice System Journal</u> 45 (1993).
- Sanders, Joseph. "Scientifically Complex Cases, Trial by Jury, and the Erosion of Adversarial Processes." 48 <u>DePaul Law Review 355</u> (1998).
- Schklar, Jason and Shari Seidman Diamond. "Juror Reactions to DNA Evidence: Errors and Expectancies." 23 Law and Human Behavior 159 (1999).
- Schneider, David. "Jury Deliberations and the Need for Jury Reform: An Outsider's View." <u>36</u>
  <u>Judges Journal</u> <u>23</u> (Fall 1997).
- Schutz, James S. "The Expert Witness and Jury Comprehension: An Expert's Perspective." 7

  <u>Cornell Journal of Law and Public Policy</u> 107 (1997).
- Schutz, Ronald J. and Martin R. Lueck. "Computer Animation Tutors Jury; in Complex Litigation, High-Tech Graphical Presentations Help the Jury Understand Difficult Issues." 18 National Law Journal C1 (September 11, 1995).
- Shoop, Julie Gannon. "ABA Section Says Jury Reforms Should Be Standard Practice; ATLA Criticizes Proposals." 34 *Trial* 86 (February 1998).
- Shoop, Julie Gannon. "Study Debunks Myths About Juror Notes and Questions." 30 *Trial* 85 (January 1994).
- Shuman, Daniel W. "Assessing the Believability of Expert Witnesses: Science in the Jurybox." 37 *Jurimetrics* 23 (1996).
- Smith, Douglas G. "The Historical and Constitutional Contexts of Jury Reform." <u>25 Hofstra Law Review 377</u> (1996).
- Strier, Franklin D. "The Road to Reform: Judges on Juries and Attorneys." <u>30 Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review 1249</u> (1997)
- Sullivan, Eugene R. and Akhil R. Amar. "Jury Reform in America A Return to the Old Country." 33 American Criminal Law Review 1141 (1996).
- Tiersma, Peter. "The Rocky Road to Legal Reform: Improving the Language of Jury Instructions." 66 Brooklyn Law Review 1081 (2001).
- Tully, Izabelle. "The Courtroom Turned Classroom: A Model Procedure for Educating the Gatekeepers of Expert Evidence in Complex Toxic Tort Cases." 40 Cardozo Law Review 2405 (2019).
- Vidmar, Neil. "Are Juries Competent to Decide Liability in Tort Cases Involving Scientific/Medical Issues? Some Data from Medical Malpractice." 43 Emory Law Journal 885 (1994).
- Vidmar, Neil. "The Performance of the American Civil Jury: An Empirical Perspective." <u>40 Arizona Law Review 849</u> (1998).

- "View From the Bar: Helping the Jury Understand Scientific Evidence." 34 Journal of the Forensic Science Society 113 (1994).
- Wesley, John W. "Scientific Evidence and the Question of Judicial Capacity." <u>25 William and Mary Law Review 675</u> (1984).
- Woodsome, Edwin V. Jr. and David G. Meyer. "Comprehension Complex; Trial Techniques, Technology Help Juries Grasp the Inexplicable." 115 Los Angeles Daily Journal 8 (May 21, 2002).
- Young, Elizabeth V. "Outsourcing the Jury: *Bartlett v. DuPont* and the Role of Alternative Adjudication in Preserving Jury "Fairness" in Complex Scientific Litigation." <u>77 Ohio State Law Journal</u> 15 (2016).

August 1999; revised September 2002, December 2004, revised December 2021.